01578

1992/12/10

UNCLASSIFIED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INCOMIN TELEGRA

PAGE BE OF BE

#2333# DCC779

ACTION: 3132 (81)

INFO: REV (01) 4488 (21) 4478 (21) 1088 (01) 528 (81) 8EA (81) 534 (01) CHIN (01) 075 (81) 1074 (01) TOTAL COPIES: 8181

OO RUSPOO
DE RUENEJ #9652/01 3451235
ZHR UUUUU ZZH
O 1812362 DEC 92
FM AMEMBASSY 8E1JING
TO RUSPOD/UUSDO WASHOD IMMEDIATE
INFO RUENC/SECTIATE WASHOD FRIORITY 3786
RUENKK/AMCONIOU HONG KONG 5297
RUENSH/AMCONIOU SKENTANG 0125
RUESEE/AMCONSUL SKANGMAI 4474
RUENG/AMCONIOU GUANGINOU 4414
ZEN/AMCONSUL CHESCOU
ST
UNGLAS SECTION #1 OF DI BEIZING #25522

USDOS FOR 3122/010/0F0R0/ASTERLING 4400/:EP/EAP/DAS LAVIN

ZECITORAS TORRESC ZEURZ EZENIKE

E.O. 12316: M/A TAGE: BEAF, CM, ETRO SUBJECT: JCCT TRACE AND INVESTMENT VORKING GROUP

4418/117/EAP/OFM/OFORTST

1. SUMMARY: COSCRIBED BELOW ARE REPRESENTATIVE CASES WHERE U. S. BUSINESSMEN ARE ENSCUNTERING PROBLEMS IMPLEMENTING THEIR CONTRACTS FOR SALE OF GOODS WITH CHINESE DREAMIZATIONS. THESE ARE MON-JOST CASES WHICH MAY NOT INDIVIDUALLY MERTT DISCUSSION BY JOST. HOWEVER, THE ISSUES PRESENTED IN THESE CASES ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF PROBLEMS WHICH VERE DISCUSSED IN THE LAST JOST IN 1988 AND REMAIN UNRESCLIVED. THE JCCT CASES AN ICH VILL BE DISCUSSED ILLUSTRATE THE ISSUES OF AT ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN COURT JUDGEHENTS IN CHINA, B) REFUSAL TO ACCEPT CUSTOR MADE GOODS. AND CI FAILURE BY A CHINESE IMPORTER TO PAY ROYALTY FEES DUE TO ENDUSER'S FAILURE TO PAY THE IMPORTER. THE CASES BELOW PRESENT THE ISSUES OF AT FAILURE TO HOHOR COLLECTION DOCUMENTS RMETHER THROUGH LETTER OF CREDIT OR DOCUMENTARY COLLECTION PROCEDURES, BI FAILURE TO SHIP GOODS WHICH CONFORM TO CONTRACTUAL SPECIFICATIONS, AND C) DENIAL OF LIASILITY FOR FAILURE TO SHIP GOODS. WE SUGGEST THAT THESE GENERAL CONTRACTUAL ISSUES COULD BE A BACKDROP FOR JOST VORKING GROUP DISCUSSION OF JOST CASES. END SUMMARY.

Z. MORE OF THE FIRMS METIONED BELOW IN THE REPRESENTATIVE CASES HAVE AUTHORIZED USE OF THEIR HAMES DURING THE JOCT TRADE AND INVESTMENT WORKING GROUP ITIPUS. HOVEVER, THEIR AUTHORIZATION COULD BE DEFAIRED IF USDOC BELIEVES THE CASES MERTI INDIVIDUAL MENTION BY

REPRESENTATIVE TATES

ILLUSTRATES THE ISSUE OF FAILURE TO MONOR
COLLECTION DOCUMENTS. IT ALSO DEPOSITRATES NOW
DIFFICULT IT IS TO GETAIN PARTIEUT FROM SOME

CHINESE BUYERS, PARTICULARLY WHERE THE EMDUSER
HAS FAILED TO PAY THE CHINESE THOSTER. THIS
CASE IS SIMILAR TO THE THOSE THOSTER
181)
TOO, THE CHINESE EMDUSER HAS FAILED TO PAY THE
CHINESE IMPORTER SO THE IMPORTER DOES NOT PAY

THE AMERICAN EXPORTER.

4. FACTS - THE AMERICAN FIRM CLAIRS PATHENT IN THE AMOUNT OF USD \$4,775.74 FROM THE THINESE IMPORTER OR ENGUSER FOR SALE OF

THE EQUIPMENT WAS SKIPPED ON 1 HOVEMBER 1991. THE BANK OF CHINA DID NOT HONOR THE LETTER OF CREDIT COLLECTION DOCUMENTS BECAUSE THEY ALLECEDLY CONTAINED TOD MANY, DISCREPENCIES, THE EQUIPMENT THROUGH AN AMERICAN DISTRIBUTOR AND IS NOT A DIRECT BENEFICIERY OF THE LETTER OF CREDIT OR A CONTRACTING PARTY FOR THE SALE OF THE EQUIPMENT TO THE CHINESE BUTER. THE DISTRIBUTOR DID NOT OBTAIN PAYMENT FACH THE ENDUSER. THE DISTRIBUTOR HAS RECENTLY SUFFERED FROM A HEART ATTACK AND WILL NOT RECOVER FROM A COMMA. (!) ALTHOUGH :S RIGHTS AND REHESIES UNDER U.S. LAW, HE IS APPARENTLY UNBELE TO LITICATE BECAUSE THE DISTRIBUTOR HAS NO ASSETS AND IS UNABLE TO RELEASE DOCUMENTS WHICH VOULD CLAIN TO PAYMENT DIRECTLY SUBSTANTIATE FROM THE ENDUSER.

S. REMEDY SIZENS COOPERATION FROM CHINESE AUTHORITIES TO COMPEL THE ENDUSER TO PAY NIM DIRECTLY. ALTHOUGH IT WOULD BE MIST APPROPRIATE FOR TO SEE THE DISTRIBUTOR IN THE U.S., THIS IS IMPOSSIBLE. MEVERTHELESS, THE ENDUSER STILL MAS AN OBLIGATION TO PAY FOR THE EQUIPMENT AND MAS NOT DOME SO. BICAUSE KESSLER IS A CREDITOR BENEFICIARY OF THE CHINESE SALES CONTRACT, HE IS ENTITLED TO PAYRENT. THE CALLES CONTRACT, HE IS ENTITLED TO PAYRENT. THE CALLES CONTRACT, HE IS ENTITLED TO PAYRENT. THE CALLES CONTRACT, HE IS NOT PAY FOR THE CHIPMENT WHICH IT DID NOT PAY FOR.

E. : THIS CASE ALSO
ILLUSTRATES CHINESE SERIER'S FAILURE TO HONGR
COLLECTION DOCUMENTS AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT BY
USE OF EQUIPMENT WHICH IT HAS NOT PA
CHINESE SALES CONTRACT PRACTICES

ID FOR. IN
THIS PROBLEM, THE CHINESE SELLER INDUCED
RELIANCE BY THE AMERICAN TIRM TO ACCEPT PAYMENT
THROUGH THE DOCUMENTARY COLLECTIONS PROCESS;
THEN LATER REFUSED TO TENDER PAYMENT WHEN THE
BAHR RECEIVED THE COLLECTION GOOUMENTS. THE
CHINESE RUYER HAS BREACHED ITS OBLIGATION TO
MAKE TIMELY PAYMENT; WHICH IS SIX BONTHS PAST
DUE.

T. FACTS SHIPPED COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE ON 31 MARCH 1932, VALUED AT USD 338, 038. THE PATRICHT VAS TO BE MADE "DOP AT SIGHT, MEANING THE DOCUMENTARY COLLECTION PROCESS. NOTE UNDER DUP NO MONEY HAS BEEN SET RIDE OF THE BUYER. DUP PATHENT IS A HIGH RISK FORM OF PAYMENT BECAUGE THERE IS NO ASSURANCE OF PATHENT. THE STOLECTIME BANK CONTACTED THE MINIMA BANK OF CHIMA PEROCUARTERS IN BELLING. UNDER BANK OF CHIMA PEROCUARTERS IN BELLING. UNDER DUP, MEINAM BANK HAS NO OBLICATION TO CENAND PATHENT FROM THE BUYER. IT MERELY TRANSMITS

UNCLASSIFIED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

INCOMIN TELEGRAI

PAGE 32 OF 32

PATHENT.

THE SHIPPING OCCUMENTS TO THE BUYER VITHOUT

OBTAINING PATHENT, BUT, IT COUNCIL SUSTAININT

BOUTHE BUYER ACTUALLY OBTAINED THESE

DOCUMENTS. CHIMESE CUSTOMS ALSO COULD HAVE

DECIDED TO RELEASE THE GOOSS. THE CHIMESE BUYER

BAS STATED IN LETTERS TO THE STATE IS THE

WISHES TO PAT WHEN THE EXCHANGE RATE IS MORE

FAVORABLE QUOVERS. THE BUYER HAS DISREGATED ITS

OBLIGATION TO TENDER PATHENT UPON PRESENTATION

OF DOCUMENTS, WHICH OCCUMED SIX HONTHS AGO.

T. REMEDY - PEQUESTS THAT THE BANK CULLECT PATHENT, WHICH THE BANK HAS NO DELIGATION TO DO.

E. THIS CASE
ILLUSTRATES CHINESE BUYER'S FAILURE TO SUPPLY
COODS AND DENIAL OF LIABILITY FOR CAMAGES
RESULTING THEREFACH. THIS CASE IS SIMILAR TO
THE PRIOR JECT CASE ON GULF TRADING. IN THESE
THREE CASES, ARBITRATION VAS MECESSARY TO FORCE
THE CHINESE BUYER TO PAY COMPENSATION FOR
FAILURE TO SHIP GCCS.

S. FACTS - THE CONTRACT CALLS FOR SMIPHENT OF 64 METRIC TOMS OF YELLOW CROCKER FISH BY 38 NARCW 1332. THE SELLER SHIPPED ONLY 23.7 METRIC TOMS AND HAS REFUSED TO THIP ANY MORE. THE FIRM CLAIMS USO 65, 988 IN DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE CHIMESE SELLER'S FAILURE TO SHIP ALL THE GOODS IS STIPULATED IN THE CONTRACT.

IS. WROTE TO DALIAM COPIT AND THE EMBASSY REQUESTING ASSISTANCE. THE COMMERCIAL SECTION WROTE TO COPIT AND COPERT. THE CHINESE SELLER WAS ENCOURAGED TO SETTLE THE DEMAGE CLAIM OR SHIP THE FISM. HE ENCUSER DID MOTHING PARTIED IN DALIAM ON 7 DEC 92 TO DISCUSS A SETTLEMENT. AT A LOCAL RESTAURANT THAT EVENING, THE FIRM'S PRESIDENT WAS STRUCK WITH A BEER SOTTLE BY THE CRIMESE FISM SUPPLIER AND NOW FERS FOR HIS SAFETY. BELIEVES HE WAS ASSISTED AT THE RECUEST OF THE CRIMESE SELLER TO INTIMIDATE WITH AND DISCOURAGE WITH FROM PURSUING HIS CLAIMS FURTHER. THE COMMERCIAL SECTION 10VISED COPIT OF ARRIVAL IN DALIAM, DESIRE TO SETTLE, AND FEAR OF ASSAULT.

IL. REMEDT FOR ITS LOSSES IN THE AMOUNT OF USD 65, 889.

THE DIFFIGURITY SHALL TRADING FIRMS ENCOUNTER MEN THEY RECEIVE DEFECTIVE GOODS FROM A CHINESE STLLER. BECAUSE THE THE CHINESE SUPPLIER WAS SUPPLIED THE DEFECTIVE GOODS, THE CHINESE EXPORTER REFUSES TO COMPENSATE THE AMERICAN REVER.!

CHIRESE SALES CONTRACT PRACTICES

URCHASE LAMPS AND LIGHT BULES ACCORDING TO
EFFAIR SPECIFICATIONS, ONE OF WHICH WAS THAT
THE STEMS OPERATE ON LIS CURRENCT OF CHIRECE 228
CURRENCY
LINES USE 10, 000 10, 000 IN DORAGES FOR
DEFECTIVE SECRE AND INADEQUATE PACKAGING FROM
THE COMMERCIAL SECTION HAS

#2333# DCD773

WRITTEN TO THE NUMBER COMMISSION ON FOREIGN ECONOMIC RELATIONS AND TRADE (COFERT) FOUR TIMES AND SUPPLIED FULL DOCUMENTATION OF THE CLAIM TWICE. WE ALSO VROTE TO THE VALUE FOR NUMBER. WE MAYE NOT RECEIVED A RESPONSE DESPITE OUR RUMEROUS INCUIRIES.

14. REPEDY - PAYMENT OF GAMAGES IN THE ARGUNT OF USD 18,888.

THE FIRM CLAIMS
DAMAGES, IN THE ANDUNT OF USD 78, 288, DUE TO
RECEIPT OF DEFECTIVE GODDS FROM GUANCOCKS ARTS
AND CRAFTS I/E CORP. THIS FIRM HAS SEEN UNABLE
TO RECOVER ITS BUSINESS LOSS.

16. IF THESE CONTRACTUAL ISSUES ARE TO BE DISCUSSED BY JECT VORKING GROUPS, VE SHOULD SOLICIT SUGGESTIONS FROM HOPERT CONCERNING HOW AMERICAN FIRMS SHOULD HANDLE THESE PROBLEMS. WE BAVE ATTEMPTED TO WORK WITH PROVINCIAL COFFERS BUT HAVE HOT HAD HUCK SUCCESS. AMERICAN FIRMS ARE STILL RELUCTANT TO RESCRIT TO ARBITRATION OR LITIGATION IN CHINA BECAUSE THEY DOUBT THE OBJECTIVITY AND COMPETENCE OF THESE LEGAL FORUMS. OFCS: ESULLIVAN ROY